# **Appendix:** H Project Evaluation Criteria

### What is project evaluation?

The WAMPO Transportation Policy Body approved the following Project Evaluation Criteria on October 12, 2021 (https://www. wampo.org/ files/ugd/bbf89d b7a5a86343144a75905c92db52d24391.pdf). They were developed to evaluate regional transportation projects based on federal and regional goals. The Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21), enacted in 2012, included provisions to make the U.S. surface transportation system more streamlined, performance-based, and multimodal, and to address challenges facing the transportation system, including safety, infrastructure condition, traffic congestion, efficiency of freight movement, environmental impacts, and delays in project delivery. The Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Act builds on the changes made by MAP-21, including providing a dedicated source of federal dollars for freight projects.

Project Evaluation Criteria were developed for 7 project types:

- 1. Bridge Rehabilitation/Replacement
- 2. Traffic Management Technologies (Roadway System Mgmt.)
- 3. Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization/Automation
- 4. Roadway Expansion
- 5. Multiuse Trails & Bicycle Facilities
- 6. Pedestrian Facilities/Safe Routes to School
- 7. Transit Expansion/Transit Modernization

Projects were scored using the Project Evaluation Criteria shown on the following pages. These scores were provided to the Project Selection Committee (PSC) to help them start their selection discussions.

#### **1. Bridge Projects Scoring**

**Definition:** A bridge rehabilitation or replacement project located on a non-freeway principal arterial or minor arterial functionally-classified roadway, consistent with the latest approved functional classification map. Bridge structures that have a separate span for each direction of travel can apply for both spans.

The bridge must carry vehicular traffic, but may also include accommodations for other modes. Bridges that are exclusively for bicycle or pedestrian traffic, are evaluated under one of the Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities categories. Completely new bridges, interchanges, or overpasses fall under the Roadway Expansion scoring evaluation category.

**Examples** of Bridge Rehabilitation/Replacement Projects:

- Bridge rehabilitation of 20 or more feet, with a bridge condition classified as 'Poor', based on 'lowest condition rating' of the primary components of a bridge or culvert.
- > Bridge replacement of 20 or more feet, with a bridge condition classified as 'Poor', based on 'lowest condition rating' of the primary components of a bridge or culvert.

Bric	Ige Projects Scoring	Dointo	ஏ
		Points	70
1	Role in the Regional Transportation System and Economy		25
Ia	Measure - Distance to the nearest alternate crossing bridge		10
1b	Measure - Project Location Relative to Jobs, Manufacturing, Transit Routes, and Education		10
lc	Measure - Transit Routes, Freight, Bike and Trail Corridors, and Bike/Ped. Network		5
2	Usage		10
2a	Measure - Current daily traffic		5
2b	Measure - Forecast 2040 average daily traffic volume		5
3	Equity		5
3a	Measure – No disproportionate impacts & connection to disadvantaged populations and project's benefits, impacts, and mitigation		5
4	Infrastructure Condition		20
4a	Measure – Bridge Rating		10
4b	Measure – Load-Posting		10
5	Multimodal Elements and Existing Connections		10
5a	Measure - Transit, bicycle, or pedestrian project elements and connections		10
6	Consistency with Regional Plans		10
6a	Consistent with Plans, Studies, Goals, Policies, Strategies		10
7	Public Engagement/Risk Assessment (ROW acquisition, proximity to historic properties)		10
7a	Measure - Public engagement/municipal support/KDOT Consult/Railroad Involvement		5
7b	Measure - National Environmental Protection Act, National Historic Protection Act (e.g. historic resources area, ROW easements, flood risk)		5
8	Cost Effectiveness		10
8a	Measure - Cost effectiveness (total points/total project cost)		10
			100
	TOTAL	0	

1.a.	Role in Regional Transportation System (Max 10 Pts.)	Point Value
	Greater than 2 miles to nearest alternate bridge.	10 Pts.
	Within 2 miles of nearest alternate bridge.	8 Pts.
	Within 1.5 miles of nearest alternate bridge.	6 Pts.
	Within 1 mile of nearest alternate bridge.	4 Pts.
	Within ½ miles of nearest alternate bridge.	2 Pts.
	¼ mile or less to nearest alternate bridge.	0 Pts.

1.b.	Role in Regional Transportation System (Max 10 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project is within 0.5 miles of sources of employment, add 2 points.	2 Pts.
	Project is within 0.5 miles of sources of manufacturing facilities, add 2 points.	2 Pts.
	Project is within 0.5 miles of sources of transit routes, add 2 points.	2 Pts.
	Project is within 0.5 miles of sources of educational facilities, add 2 points.	2 Pts.
	If 2 of the above categories are present, add one additional point.	1 Pt.
	If 3 of the above categories are present, add 1.5 additional points.	1.5 Pts.
	If 4 of the above categories are present, add two additional points.	2 Pts.

1.c.	Role in Regional Transportation System (Max 5 Pts.)	Point Value
	Is the project along or does it intersect any transit routes?	1 Pt.
	Is the project along or does it intersect any freight corridors?	1 Pt.
	Is the project along or does it intersect any multi-use trails?	1 Pt.
	Is the project along or does it intersect any bicycle/pedestrian networks?	1 Pt.
	Does the project connect to or facilitate the use of one of more of these features/locations?	1 Pt.

2.a.	Usage (Max 5 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project results in an overall increase in average daily traffic volumes.	5 Pts.
	Project has no overall effect on average daily traffic volumes in either positive or negative manner.	2.5 Pts.
	Project results in an overall decrease in average daily traffic volumes.	0 Pts.

2.b.	Usage (Max 5 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project results in an overall <i>increase</i> in forecast 2040 average daily traffic volume.	5 Pts.
	Project results in no change to the forecast 2040 average daily traffic volumes.	2.5 Pts.
	Project results in an overall decrease in forecast 2040 average daily traffic volume.	0 Pts.

3.a.	Equity (Max 5 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project creates no disproportionate impacts to minority populations within designated	2 Pts.
	E.J. locations.	
	Project creates no disproportionate impacts to low-income populations within	2 Pts.
	designated E.J. locations.	
	Project creates no disproportionate impacts to L.E.P. populations within designated	1 Pt.
	E.J. locations.	

4.a.	Infrastructure Condition (Max 10 Pts.)	Point Value
	Existing bridge structure is rated structurally deficient and has a sufficiency rating of 50	10 Pts.
	or less.	101 (3.
	Existing bridge structure is rated functionally obsolete and has a sufficiency rating of	E Dto
	80 or less.	5 PIS.
	Existing bridge structure has a sufficiency rating of 80 or greater.	0 Pts.

4.b.	Infrastructure Condition (Max 10 Pts.)	Point Value
	Bridge has a posted load rating restriction for 10 tons or less.	10 Pts.
	Bridge has a posted load rating restriction for 25 tons or less.	7.5 Pts.
	Bridge has a posted load rating restriction for 40 tons or less.	5 Pts.
	Bridge has a posted load weight limit.	2.5 Pts.
	Bridge does NOT have a posted load weight limit.	0 Pts.

5.a.	Multimodal Elements and Existing Connections (Max 10 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project includes transit features or connections.	2.5 Pts.
	Project increases the population of potential users within a 1/2 mile of a transit route.	2.5 Pts.
	Project includes bicycle or pedestrian features or connections.	2.5Pts.
	Project increases the population of potential users within a 1/2 mile of a bicycle or pedestrian network.	2.5 Pts.

6.a.	Consistency with Regional Plans (Max 10 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project is part of established regional plans & goals or has been designed to integrate with it.	10 Pts.
	Regional plans have been considered & steps taken to integrate the project into plans, but some issues or conflicts may exist.	7 Pts.
	Project doesn't consider or integrate with regional plans, but it also doesn't conflict with or affect any existing regional plans or goals.	5 Pts.
	Project doesn't consider regional plans or goals and/or conflicts with established plans/goals.	0 Pts.

7 3	Public Engagement/Risk Assessment (Max 5 Pts.)	Point
1.a.		Value
	Project has been presented to and has support/sign-off by all interested & relevant	E Dtc
	parties/groups/stakeholders and is ready to begin if selected for inclusion to the TIP.	5 PIS.
	Some or most affected parties/groups/stakeholders have been included/consulted on	
	the project discussions and potential problem resolutions, but some issues remain to	2.5 Pts.
	be addressed before the project can begin.	
	No engagement with parties/groups/stakeholders. Significant work remains to be	e Dto
	completed before the project can commence.	0 Pts.

7.b.	Public Engagement/Risk Assessment (Max 5 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project has no elements falling under the National Environmental Protection Act.	2.5 Pts.
	Project has no elements falling under the Historic Preservation Acts.	2.5 Pts.

8.a.	Cost Effectiveness (Max 10 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project results in maximum efficiency of use of funding and has a high score in this rubric.	10 Pts.
	Project results in elevated efficiency of use of funding and has a fairly high score in this rubric.	5 Pts.
	Project doesn't result in most efficient use of funding.	0 Pts.

#### 2. Traffic Management Technology Scoring

**Definition:** An Intelligent Transportation System (ITS) or similar project that primarily benefits roadway users. Traffic Management Technology projects can include project elements along a single corridor, multiple corridors, or within a specific geographic area, such as a downtown. To be eligible, projects must make improvements to at least one minor arterial or non-freeway principal arterial. Projects that are more transit-focused are in the Transit Modernization scoring evaluation category.

**Examples** of Traffic Management Technology Projects:

- Flashing yellow arrow traffic signals
- > Traffic signal retiming projects
- > Integrated corridor signal coordination
- > Traffic signal control system upgrades
- > New/replacement detectors
- > Passive detectors for bicyclists and pedestrians
- > New/replacement traffic mgmt. centers
- > New/replacement traffic communication
- > New/replacement CCTV cameras
- New/replacement variable message signs & other info improvements
- Incident management coordination

Traff	ic Management Technology Projects Scoring	Dointo	07
	Criteria and Measures	Points	%
1	Role in the Regional Transportation System and Economy		20
1a	Measure - Functional classification of project,		-
	movement of people and goods		/
1b	Bike/Ped. Network		
lc	Measure - Integration within existing traffic management systems		7
2	Usage		10
2a	Measure - Current daily person throughput		5
2b	Measure - Forecast 2040 average daily traffic volume		5
3	Equity		5
3a	Measure - No disproportionate impacts & connection to disadvantaged populations and project's benefits, impacts, and mitigation		5
4	Infrastructure Condition/Age		10
4a	Measure – Upgrades to obsolete equipment		10
5	Congestion Reduction/Air Quality		10
5a	Measure - Congested corridor		5
5b	Measure - Emissions and congestion benefits of project		5
6	Safety		15
6a	Measure - Crashes reduced		7.5
6b	Measure - Safety issues in project area (e.g. signage, facility geometry)		7.5
7	Multimodal Elements and Existing Connections		10
7a	Measure - Transit, bicycle, or pedestrian project elements and connections		10
8	Consistency with Regional Plans		10
8a	Consistent with Plans, Studies, Goals, Policies, Strategies		10
9	Public Engagement/Risk Assessment (ROW acquisition, proximity to historic properties)		5
9a	Measure - Public engagement/municipal support/KDOT Consult/Railroad Involvement		2
9b	Measure - National Environmental Protection Act, National Historic Protection Act (e.g. historic resources area, ROW easements, flood risk)		3
10	Cost Effectiveness		5
10a	Measure – Cost effectiveness (total points/total project cost)		5
			100
	TOTAL	0	

1.a.	Regional Trans. System & Economy (Max 7 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project is located on the Interstate Roadway system.	3 Pts.
	Project is located on an "Arterial" roadway.	2 Pts.
	Project is located on a "Collector" roadway.	1 Pt.
	Project is located within the WAMPO freight network and will eliminate barriers to use	2 Pts.
	for freight carriers.	
	Project provides or improves connectivity to the road network for freight shippers,	2 Pts.
	receivers, or intermodal transfer facilities.	
	Project is located on a "local" roadway and has no effect on freight networks.	0 Pts.

1.b.	Regional Trans. System & Economy (Max 6 Pts.)	Point Value
	Is the project along or does it intersect any transit routes?	1 Pt.
	Is the project along or does it intersect any freight corridors?	1 Pt.
	Is the project along or does it intersect any multi-use trails?	1 Pt.
	Is the project along or does it intersect any bicycle/pedestrian networks?	1 Pt.
	Does the project connect to or facilitate the use of one of more of these features/locations?	2 Pts.

1.c.	Regional Trans. System & Economy (Max 7 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project will be fully integrated into the existing traffic management systems at the	7.04
	project location.	TPIS.
	Project will be partially integrated into or accentuate the existing traffic management	3.5 Pts.
	systems at the project location.	
	Project will NOT be integrated into the existing traffic management systems at the	0.04-
	project location.	UPIS.

2.a.	Usage (Max 5 Pts.)	Point
		Value
	Project results in an overall <i>increase</i> in average daily traffic volumes.	5 Pts.
	Project has no overall effect on average daily traffic volumes in either positive or negative manner.	2.5 Pts.
	Project results in an overall decrease in average daily traffic volumes.	0 Pts.

2.b.	Usage (Max 5 Pts.)	Point
		Value
	Project results in an overall increase in forecast 2040 average daily traffic volume.	5 Pts.
	Project results in no change to the forecast 2040 average daily traffic volumes.	2.5 Pts.
	Project results in an overall decrease in forecast 2040 average daily traffic volume.	0 Pts.

3.a.	Equity (Max 5 Pts.)	Point
		Value
	Project creates no disproportionate impacts to minority populations within designated	2 Pts.
	E.J. locations.	
	Project creates no disproportionate impacts to low-income populations within	2 Pts.
	designated E.J. locations.	
	Project creates no disproportionate impacts to L.E.P. populations within designated E.J.	1 Pt.
	locations.	

4.a.	Infrastructure Condition/Age (Max 10 Pts.)	Point Value
	Existing infrastructure was built more than 25 years ago.	10 Pts.
	Existing infrastructure was built more than 20 years ago.	7 Pts.
	Existing infrastructure was built more than 10 years ago.	3 Pts.
	Existing infrastructure was built less than 10 years ago.	0 Pts.

5.a.	Congestion Reduction/Air Quality (Max 5 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project results in maximum reduction in vehicle delays for the project area.	5 Pts.
	Project results in some reduction in vehicle delays for the project area.	2.5 Pts.
	Project results in no reduction in vehicle delays for the project area.	0 Pts.

5.b.	Congestion Reduction/Air Quality (Max 5 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project will result in a lowering of traffic congestion and vehicle emissions within the	5 Dts
	project area.	5113.
	Project will have no result on congestion or emission levels.	0 Pts.

6.a.	Safety (Max 7.5 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project is at a location where 30 or more crashes occurred since 2017.	7.5 Pts.
	Project is at a location where 15 or more crashes occurred since 2017.	4 Pts.
	Project is at a location with no significant history of crashes having occurred since 2017.	0 Pts.

6.b.	Safety (Max 7.5 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project incorporates tangible safety improvements and is at a location with a significant history of crashes (more than 25 in any <u>3 year</u> period).	7.5 Pts.
	Project incorporates tangible safety improvements.	4 Pts.
	Project does not include any defined safety improvements.	0 Pts.

7.	Multimodal Elements and Existing Connections (Max 10 Pts.)	Point
/.a.		Value
	Project includes transit features or connections.	2.5 Pts.
	Project increases the population of potential users within a 1/2 mile of a transit route.	2.5 Pts.
	Project includes bicycle or pedestrian features or connections.	2.5 Pts.
	Project increases the population of potential users within a 1/2 mile of a bicycle or	2 E D±a
	pedestrian network.	2.5 PtS.

8.a.	Consistency with Regional Plans (Max 10 Pts. Total)	Point Value
	Project is part of established regional plans & goals or has been designed to integrate	10.04-
	with it.	10 PtS.
	Regional plans have been considered & steps taken to integrate the project into plans,	7 Pts.
	but some issues or conflicts may exist.	
	Project doesn't consider or integrate with regional plans, but it also doesn't conflict	5 Pts.
	with or affect any existing regional plans or goals.	
	Project doesn't consider regional plans or goals and/or conflicts with established	0 Pts.
	plans/goals.	

9.a.	Public Engagement/Risk Assessment (Max 2 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project has been presented to and has support/sign-off by all interested $\&$ relevant	2 Pts.
	parties/groups/stakeholders and is ready to begin if selected for inclusion to the TIP.	21 03.
	Some or most affected parties/groups/stakeholders have been included/consulted on	
	the project discussions and potential problem resolutions, but some issues remain to	1 Pt.
	be addressed before the project can begin.	
	No engagement with parties/groups/stakeholders. Significant work remains to be	0 Dtc
	completed before the project can commence.	UPIS.

9.b.	Public Engagement/Risk Assessment (Max 3 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project has no elements falling under the National Environmental Protection Act.	1.5 Pts.
	Project has no elements falling under the Historic Preservation Acts.	1.5 Pts.

10.a.	Cost Effectiveness (Max 5 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project results in maximum efficiency of use of funding and has a high score in this	5 Pts.
	rubric.	
	Project results in elevated efficiency of use of funding and has a fairly high score in this	2.5 Pts.
	rubric.	
	Project doesn't result in most efficient use of funding.	0 Pts.

## 3. Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization & Spot Mobility Projects Scoring

**Definition:** A roadway project that does not add thrulane capacity (except for roundabouts), but reconstructs, reclaims, modernizes, or adds new spot mobility elements (e.g., new turn lanes, traffic signals, or roundabouts). Projects must be located on a non-freeway principal arterial or a minor arterial functionally classified roadway, consistent with the latest functional classification map.

**Examples** of Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization and Spot Mobility Projects:

- Intersection improvements or alternative intersections such as unsignalized or signalized reduced conflict intersections.
- Interchange reconstructions that do not involve new ramp movements or added thru lanes
- Turn lanes
- Two-lane to three-lane conversions (with a continuous center turn lane)
- Lane conversion to on street parking, or bike lanes addition
- > Four-lane to three-lane conversions
- Roundabouts
- Addition or replacement of traffic signals
- > Shoulder improvements
- Strengthening a non-10-ton roadway
- Raised medians, frontage roads, access modifications, or other access management
- > Roadway improvements that add multimodal elements
- New alignments that replace an existing alignment and do not expand the number of lanes
- > Resurfacing roadway projects

Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization and Spot Mobility Projects Scoring			
	Criteria and Measures	Points	%
1	Role in the Regional Transportation System and Economy		20
la	Measure - Level of Congestion, movement of people and goods		7
1b	Measure - Project Location Relative to Jobs, Manufacturing, and Education		7
1c	Measure - Transit Routes, Freight, Bike and Trail Corridors, and Bike/Ped Network		
2	Usage		10
2a	Measure - Current daily traffic		5
2b	Measure - Forecast 2040 average daily traffic volume		5
3	Equity		5
3	Measure - No disproportionate impacts & connection to disadvantaged populations and project's benefits, impacts, and mitigation.		5
4	Infrastructure Condition/Age		15
4a	Measure – Date of construction		7.5
4b	Measure – Geometric, structural, or infrastructure improvements		7.5
5	Congestion Reduction		10
5a	Measure - Vehicle delay reduced		10
6	Safety		10
6a	Measure - Crash history		5
6b	Measure - Safety issues in project area (e.g. signage, facility geometry)		5
7	Multimodal Elements and Existing Connections		10
7a	Measure - Transit, bicycle, or pedestrian project elements and connections		10
8	Consistency with Regional Plans		10
8a	Consistent with Plans, Studies, Goals, Policies, Strategies		10
9	Public Engagement/Risk Assessment (ROW acquisition, proximity to historic properties)		5
9a	Measure - Public engagement/municipal support/KDOT Consult/Railroad Involvement		2
9b	Measure - National Environmental Protection Act, National Historic Protection Act (e.g. historic resources area, ROW easements, flood risk)		3
10	Cost Effectiveness		5
10a	Measure – Cost effectiveness (total points/total project cost)		5
			100
	TOTAL	0	

1.a.	Role in the Regional Trans. System & Economy (Max 7 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project results in maximum reduction in the level of congestion and accentuates the	7 Pts.
	movement of people and goods.	
	Project results in some level of reduction in level of congestion and may improve the	3.5 Pts.
	movement of people and goods.	
	Project results in no appreciable reduction in level of congestion nor facilitates the	0 Pts.
	movement of people or goods.	

1.b.	Role in the Regional Trans. System & Economy (Max 7 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project is within 0.5 miles of sources of employment, add 1.25 points.	1.25 Pts.
	Project is within 0.5 miles of sources of manufacturing facilities, add 1.25 points.	1.25 Pts.
	Project is within 0.5 miles of sources of transit routes, add 1.25 points.	1.25 Pts.
	Project is within 0.5 miles of sources of educational facilities, add 1.25 points.	1.25 Pts.
	If 2 of the above categories are present, add one additional point.	1 Pt.
	If 3 of the above categories are present, add 1.5 additional points.	1.5 Pts.
	If 4 of the above categories are present, add two additional points.	2 Pts.

1.c.	Role in the Regional Trans. System & Economy (Max 6 Pts.)	Point Value
	Is the project along or does it intersect any transit routes?	1 Pt.
	Is the project along or does it intersect any freight corridors?	1 Pt.
	Is the project along or does it intersect any multi-use trails?	1 Pt.
	Is the project along or does it intersect any bicycle/pedestrian networks?	1 Pt.
	Does the project connect to or facilitate the use of one of more of these	2 Dto
	features/locations?	2 PIS.

2.a.	Usage (Max 5 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project average daily traffic volumes are greater than 8,500.	5 Pts.
	Project average daily traffic volumes are between 5,000 and 8,500.	2.5 Pts.
	Project average daily traffic volumes are less than 5,000.	0 Pts.

2.b.	Usage (Max 5 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project forecast 2040 average daily traffic volumes are greater than 14,000.	5 Pts.
	Project forecast 2040 average daily traffic volumes are between 7,600 and 14,000.	2.5 Pts.
	Project forecast 2040 average daily traffic volumes are less than 7,600.	0 Pts.

3.a.	Equity (Max 5 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project creates no disproportionate impacts to minority populations within designated	2 D+c
	E.J. locations.	Z PTS.
	Project creates no disproportionate impacts to low-income populations within	2 Pts.
	designated E.J. locations.	
	Project creates no disproportionate impacts to L.E.P. populations within designated	1.04
	E.J. locations.	186

4.a.	Infrastructure Condition/Age (Max 7.5 Pts.)	Point Value
	Existing infrastructure was built more than 25 years ago.	7.5 Pts.
	Existing infrastructure was built more than 20 years ago.	5 Pts.
	Existing infrastructure was built more than 10 years ago.	2.5 Pts.
	Existing infrastructure was built less than 10 years ago.	0 Pts.

4.b.	Infrastructure Condition/Age (Max 7.5 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project includes improvements in all of the following types of improvements, which	
	results in improved comfort and safety of the users: Geometric, Structural, and	7.5 Pts.
	Infrastructure improvements.	
	Project includes improvements in at least two of the following types of improvements,	
	which results in improved comfort and safety of the users: Geometric, Structural, and	5.0 Pts.
	Infrastructure improvements.	
	Project includes improvements in at least one of the following types of improvements,	
	which results in improved comfort and safety of the users: Geometric, Structural, and	2.5 Pts.
	Infrastructure improvements.	
	Project doesn't include any Geometric, Structural, or Infrastructure improvements.	0 Pts.

5.a.	Congestion Reduction (Max 10 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project results in maximum reduction in vehicle delays for the project area.	10 Pts.
	Project results in some reduction in vehicle delays for the project area.	5 Pts.
	Project results in no reduction in vehicle delays for the project area.	0 Pts.

6.a.	Safety (Max 5 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project is at a location where 30 or more crashes occurred since 2017.	5 Pts.
	Project is at a location where 15 or more crashes occurred since 2017.	2.5 Pts.
	Project is at a location with no significant history of crashes having occurred since 2017.	0 Pts.

6.b.	Safety (Max 5 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project incorporates tangible safety improvements and is at a location with a significant history of crashes (more than 25 in any <u>3 year</u> period).	5 Pts.
	Project incorporates tangible safety improvements.	2.5 Pts.
	Project does not include any defined safety improvements.	0 Pts.

7.a.	Multimodal Elements and Existing Connections (Max 10 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project includes transit features or connections.	2.5 Pts.
	Project increases the population of potential users within a 1/2 mile of a transit route.	2.5 Pts.
	Project includes bicycle or pedestrian features or connections.	2.5 Pts.
	Project increases the population of potential users within a 1/2 mile of a bicycle or pedestrian network.	2.5 Pts.

8.a.	Consistency with Regional Plans (Max 10 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project is part of established regional/local plans & goals or has been designed to	10 D+c
	integrate with it.	10 Pts.
	Regional/local plans have been considered & steps taken to integrate the project into	7.04-
	plans, but some issues or conflicts may exist.	7 Pts.
	Project doesn't consider or integrate with regional/local plans, but it also doesn't	5 Pts.
	conflict with or affect any existing regional plans or goals.	
	Project doesn't consider regional/local plans or goals and/or conflicts with established	0 Pts.
	plans/goals.	

0.0		Point
9.a.	Public Engagement/Risk Assessment (Max 2 Pts.)	Value
	Project has been presented to and has support/sign-off by all interested & relevant	2 Dto
	parties/groups/stakeholders and is ready to begin if selected for inclusion to the TIP.	2 PIS.
	Some or most affected parties/groups/stakeholders have been included/consulted on	
	the project discussions and potential problem resolutions, but some issues remain to	1 Pt.
	be addressed before the project can begin.	
	No engagement with parties/groups/stakeholders. Significant work remains to be	0.04-
	completed before the project can commence.	UPIS.

9.b.	Public Engagement/Risk Assessment (Max 3 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project has no elements falling under the National Environmental Protection Act.	1.5 Pts.
	Project has no elements falling under the Historic Preservation Acts.	1.5 Pts.

10.a.	Cost Effectiveness (Max 5 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project results in maximum efficiency of use of funding and has a high score in this rubric.	5 Pts.
	Project results in elevated efficiency of use of funding and has a fairly high score in this rubric.	2.5 Pts.
	Project doesn't result in most efficient use of funding.	0 Pts.

#### **4. Roadway Expansion Projects Scoring** (Existing Road)

**Definition:** A roadway project that adds thru-lane capacity as a primary objective. Projects must be located on a minor arterial or above, functionally-classified roadway, consistent with the latest functional classification.

**Examples** of Roadway Expansion Projects:

- > Two-lane to four-lane expansions
- Other thru-lane expansions (excludes additions of a continuous center turn lane)
- > Four-lane to six-lane expansions
- New interchanges with or without associated frontage roads
- Expanded interchanges with either new ramp movements or added thru lanes
- New bridges, overpasses and underpasses

Roadway Expansion Projects Scoring (Existing Road)				
	Criteria and Measures	Points	%	
1	Role in the Regional Transportation System and Economy		20	
la	Measure - Level of Congestion, movement of people and goods		7	
1b	Measure - Project Location Relative to Jobs, Manufacturing, Transit Routes, and Education		7	
1c	Measure - Transit Routes, Freight, Bike and Trail Corridors, and Bike/Ped Network			
2	Usage		10	
2a	Measure - Current daily traffic		5	
2b	Measure - Forecast 2040 average daily traffic volume		5	
3	Equity		5	
3	Measure - No disproportionate impacts & connection to disadvantaged populations and project's benefits, impacts, and mitigation		5	
4	Infrastructure Condition/Age		15	
4a	Measure – Date of construction		7.5	
4b	Measure – Geometric, structural, or infrastructure improvements		7.5	
5	Congestion Reduction/Air Quality		10	
5a	Measure - Vehicle delay reduced		10	
6	Safety		10	
6a	Measure - Crash history		5	
6b	Measure - Safety issues in project area (e.g. signage, facility geometry)		5	
7	Multimodal Elements and Existing Connections		10	
7a	Measure – Transit Routes, bicycle, or pedestrian project elements and connections		10	
8	Consistency with Regional Plans		10	
8a	Consistent with Plans, Studies, Goals, Policies, Strategies		10	
9	Public Engagement/Risk Assessment (ROW acquisition, proximity to historic properties)		5	
9a	Measure - Public engagement/municipal support/KDOT Consult/Railroad Involvement		2	
9b	Measure - National Environmental Protection Act, National Historic Protection Act (e.g. historic resources area, ROW easements, flood risk)		3	
10	Cost Effectiveness		5	
10a	Measure - Cost effectiveness (total points/total project cost)		5	
			100	
	TOTAL	0		

1.a.	Role in the Regional Trans. System & Economy (Max 7 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project results in maximum reduction in the level of congestion and accentuates the	7 Pts.
	movement of people and goods.	
	Project results in some level of reduction in level of congestion and may improve the	3.5 Pts.
	movement of people and goods.	
	Project results in no appreciable reduction in level of congestion nor facilitates the	0 Pts.
	movement of people or goods.	

1.b.	Role in the Regional Trans. System & Economy (Max 7 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project is within 0.5 miles of sources of employment, add 1.25 points.	1.25 Pts.
	Project is within 0.5 miles of sources of manufacturing facilities, add 1.25 points.	1.25 Pts.
	Project is within 0.5 miles of sources of transit routes, add 1.25 points.	1.25 Pts.
	Project is within 0.5 miles of sources of educational facilities, add 1.25 points.	1.25 Pts.
	If 2 of the above categories are present, add one additional point.	1 Pt.
	If 3 of the above categories are present, add 1.5 additional points.	1.5 Pts.
	If 4 of the above categories are present, add two additional points.	2 Pts.

1.c.	Role in the Regional Trans. System & Economy (Max 6 Pts.)	Point Value
	Is the project along or does it intersect any transit routes?	1 Pt.
	Is the project along or does it intersect any freight corridors?	1 Pt.
	Is the project along or does it intersect any multi-use trails?	1 Pt.
	Is the project along or does it intersect any bicycle/pedestrian networks?	1 Pt.
	Does the project connect to or facilitate the use of one of more of these	2 Dto
	features/locations?	2 PIS.

2.a.	Usage (Max 5 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project results in an overall increase in average daily traffic volumes.	5 Pts.
	Project has no overall effect on average daily traffic volumes in either positive or negative manner.	2.5 Pts.
	Project results in an overall decrease in average daily traffic volumes.	0 Pts.

2.b.	Usage (Max 5 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project results in an overall increase in forecast 2040 average daily traffic volume.	5 Pts.
	Project results in no change to the forecast 2040 average daily traffic volumes.	2.5 Pts.
	Project results in an overall decrease in forecast 2040 average daily traffic volume.	0 Pts.

3.a.	Equity (Max 5 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project creates no disproportionate impacts to minority populations within designated	2 Dto
	E.J. locations.	2 PtS.
	Project creates no disproportionate impacts to low-income populations within	2 Dto
	designated E.J. locations.	2 PtS.
	Project creates no disproportionate impacts to L.E.P. populations within designated	1.04
	E.J. locations.	186

4.a.	Infrastructure Condition/Age (Max 7.5 Pts.)	Point Value
	Existing infrastructure was built more than 25 years ago.	7.5 Pts.
	Existing infrastructure was built more than 20 years ago.	5 Pts.
	Existing infrastructure was built more than 10 years ago.	2.5 Pts.
	Existing infrastructure was built less than 10 years ago.	0 Pts.

4.b.	Infrastructure Condition/Age (Max 7.5 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project includes improvements in all of the following types of improvements, which	
	results in improved comfort and safety of the users: Geometric, Structural, and	7.5 Pts.
	Infrastructure improvements.	
	Project includes improvements in at least two of the following types of improvements,	
	which results in improved comfort and safety of the users: Geometric, Structural, and	5.0 Pts.
	Infrastructure improvements.	
	Project includes improvements in at least one of the following types of improvements,	
	which results in improved comfort and safety of the users: Geometric, Structural, and	2.5 Pts.
	Infrastructure improvements.	
	Project doesn't include any Geometric, Structural, or Infrastructure improvements.	0 Pts.

5.a.	Congestion Reduction/Air Quality (Max 10 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project results in maximum reduction in vehicle delays for the project area.	10 Pts.
	Project results in some reduction in vehicle delays for the project area.	5 Pts.
	Project results in no reduction in vehicle delays for the project area.	0 Pts.

6.a.	Safety (Max 5 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project is at a location where 30 or more crashes occurred since 2017.	5 Pts.
	Project is at a location where 15 or more crashes occurred since 2017.	2.5 Pts.
	Project is at a location with no significant history of crashes having occurred since 2017.	0 Pts.

6.b.	Safety (Max 5 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project incorporates tangible safety improvements and is at a location with a	5 Pts.
	significant history of crashes (more than 25 in any <u>3 year</u> period).	
	Project incorporates tangible safety improvements.	2.5 Pts.
	Project does not include any defined safety improvements.	0 Pts.

7.a.	Multimodal Elements and Existing Connections (Max 10 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project includes transit features or connections.	2.5 Pts.
	Project increases the population of potential users within a 1/2 mile of a transit route.	2.5 Pts.
	Project includes bicycle or pedestrian features or connections.	2.5 Pts.
	Project increases the population of potential users within a 1/2 mile of a bicycle or pedestrian network.	2.5 Pts.

8.a.	Consistency with Regional Plans (Max 10 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project is part of established regional plans & goals or has been designed to integrate with it.	10 Pts.
	Regional plans have been considered & steps taken to integrate the project into plans, but some issues or conflicts may exist.	7 Pts.
	Project doesn't consider or integrate with regional plans, but it also doesn't conflict with or affect any existing regional plans or goals.	5 Pts.
	Project doesn't consider regional plans or goals and/or conflicts with established plans/goals.	0 Pts.

	Public Engagement/Risk Assessment (Max 2 Pts.)	Point
J.d.		Value
	Project has been presented to and has support/sign-off by all interested & relevant	2 Dtc
	parties/groups/stakeholders and is ready to begin if selected for inclusion to the TIP.	2 F LS.
	Some or most affected parties/groups/stakeholders have been included/consulted on	
	the project discussions and potential problem resolutions, but some issues remain to	1 Pt.
	be addressed before the project can begin.	
	No engagement with parties/groups/stakeholders. Significant work remains to be	0 Ptc
	completed before the project can commence.	UFLS.

9.b.	Public Engagement/Risk Assessment (Max 3 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project has no elements falling under the National Environmental Protection Act.	1.5 Pts.
	Project has no elements falling under the Historic Preservation Acts.	1.5 Pts.

10.a.	Cost Effectiveness (Max 5 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project results in maximum efficiency of use of funding and has a high score in this rubric.	5 Pts.
	Project results in elevated efficiency of use of funding and has a fairly high score in this rubric.	2.5 Pts.
	Project doesn't result in most efficient use of funding.	0 Pts.

#### 4. Roadway Expansion Projects Scoring (New Road)

**Definition:** New roadways that would be classified as Minor Arterial or above once the project is built.

**Examples** of New Roadway Expansion Projects:

- New roadways connecting communities
- New Bridge connections providing trip connectivity between two or more communities

Roa	dway Expansion Projects Scoring (New Road)	Detete	σ.
	Criteria ana Measures	Points	%
1	Role in the Regional Transportation System and Economy		25
la	Measure - Level of Congestion, movement of people and goods		8
1b	Measure - Project Location Relative to Jobs, Manufacturing, Transit Routes, and Education		10
lc	Measure - Transit Routes, Freight, Bike and Trail Corridors, and Bike/Ped Network		7
2	Usage		10
2	Measure - Forecast 2040 average daily traffic volume		10
3	Equity		5
3	Measure - No disproportionate impacts & connection to disadvantaged populations and project's benefits, impacts, and mitigation		5
4	Connecting Communities in the region		10
4	Promotes regional roadway connections		10
5	Congestion Reduction		10
5a	Measure - Vehicle delay reduced		10
6	Safety		10
6	Is the project addressing safety concerns		10
7	Multimodal Elements and Existing Connections		10
7a	Measure – Transit Routes, bicycle, or pedestrian project elements and connections		10
8	Consistency with Regional Plans		10
8a	Consistent with Plans, Studies, Goals, Policies, Strategies		10
9	Public Engagement/Risk Assessment (ROW acquisition, proximity to historic properties)		5
9a	Measure - Public engagement/municipal support/KDOT Consult/Railroad Involvement		2
9b	Measure - National Environmental Protection Act, National Historic Protection Act (e.g. historic resources area, ROW easements, flood risk)		3
10	Cost Effectiveness		5
10a	Measure – Cost effectiveness (total points/total project cost)		5
			100
	TOTAL	0	

1.a.	Role in the Regional Trans. System & Economy (Max 8 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project results in maximum reduction in the level of congestion and accentuates the	8 Pts.
	movement of people and goods.	
	Project results in some level of reduction in level of congestion and may improve the	4 Pts.
	movement of people and goods.	
	Project results in no appreciable reduction in level of congestion nor facilitates the	0 Pts.
	movement of people or goods.	

1.b.	Role in the Regional Trans. System & Economy (Max 10 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project is within 0.5 miles of sources of employment, add 2 points.	2 Pts.
	Project is within 0.5 miles of sources of manufacturing facilities, add 2 points.	2 Pts.
	Project is within 0.5 miles of sources of transit routes, add 2 points.	2 Pts.
	Project is within 0.5 miles of sources of educational facilities, add 2 points.	2 Pts.
	If 2 of the above categories are present, add one additional point.	1 Pt.
	If 3 of the above categories are present, add 1.5 additional points.	1.5 Pts.
	If 4 of the above categories are present, add two additional points.	2 Pts.

1.c.	Role in the Regional Trans. System & Economy (Max 7 Pts.)	Point Value
	Is the project along or does it intersect any transit routes?	1 Pt.
	Is the project along or does it intersect any freight corridors?	1 Pt.
	Is the project along or does it intersect any multi-use trails?	1 Pt.
	Is the project along or does it intersect any bicycle/pedestrian networks?	1 Pt.
	Does the project connect to or facilitate the use of one of more of these	2 Dtc
	features/locations?	2 10.

2.a.	Usage (Max 10 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project results in an overall increase in forecast 2040 average daily traffic volume.	10 Pts.
	Project results in no change to the forecast 2040 average daily traffic volumes.	5 Pts.
	Project results in an overall decrease in forecast 2040 average daily traffic volume.	0 Pts.

3.a.	Equity (Max 5 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project creates no disproportionate impacts to minority populations within designated	2 D±c
	E.J. locations.	2 Pts.
	Project creates no disproportionate impacts to low-income populations within	2 Pts.
	designated E.J. locations.	
	Project creates no disproportionate impacts to L.E.P. populations within designated	1 D+
	E.J. locations.	186

4.a.	Connecting Communities in the Region (Max 10 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project connects two separate pieces of the regional roadway network that weren't	
	previously connected or facilitates the movement of people and goods in a way not	10 Pts.
	available without the project present.	
	Project adds at least one connection to the regional roadway network.	5 Pts.
	Project makes no connections to the existing roadway network.	0 Pts.

5.a.	Congestion Reduction (Max 10 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project results in maximum reduction in vehicle delays for the project area.	10 Pts.
	Project results in some reduction in vehicle delays for the project area.	5 Pts.
	Project results in no reduction in vehicle delays for the project area.	0 Pts.

6.a.	Safety (Max 10 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project incorporates tangible safety improvements and is at a location with a	10 Pts.
	significant history of crashes (more than 25 since 2017).	
	Project incorporates tangible safety improvements.	5 Pts.
	Project does not include any defined safety improvements.	0 Pts.

7.a.	Multimodal Elements and Existing Connections (Max 10 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project includes transit features or connections.	2.5 Pts.
	Project increases the population of potential users within a 1/2 mile of a transit line.	2.5 Pts.
	Project includes bicycle or pedestrian features or connections.	2.5 Pts.
	Project increases the population of potential users within a 1/2 mile of a bicycle or	2.5 Pts.
	pedestrian network.	

8.a.	Consistency with Regional Plans (Max 10 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project is part of established regional plans & goals or has been designed to integrate with it.	10 Pts.
	Regional plans have been considered & steps taken to integrate the project into plans, but some issues or conflicts may exist.	7 Pts.
	Project doesn't consider or integrate with regional plans, but it also doesn't conflict with or affect any existing regional plans or goals.	5 Pts.
	Project doesn't consider regional plans or goals and/or conflicts with established plans/goals.	0 Pts.

9.2	. Public Engagement/Risk Assessment (Max 2 Pts.)	Point
J.a.		Value
	Project has been presented to and has support/sign-off by all interested & relevant	2 Pts.
	parties/groups/stakeholders and is ready to begin if selected for inclusion to the TIP.	
	Some or most affected parties/groups/stakeholders have been included/consulted on	
	the project discussions and potential problem resolutions, but some issues remain to	1 Pt.
	be addressed before the project can begin.	
	No engagement with parties/groups/stakeholders. Significant work remains to be	0 Dtc
	completed before the project can commence.	0 Pts.

9.b	Public Engagement/Risk Assessment (Max 3 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project has no elements falling under the National Environmental Protection Act.	1.5 Pts.
	Project has no elements falling under the Historic Preservation Acts.	1.5 Pts.

10.a.	Cost Effectiveness (Max 5 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project results in maximum efficiency of use of funding and has a high score in this rubric.	5 Pts.
	Project results in elevated efficiency of use of funding and has a fairly high score in this rubric.	2.5 Pts.
	Project doesn't result in most efficient use of funding.	0 Pts.

#### **5. Multiuse Trails & Bicycle Facilities Scoring**

**Definition:** A project that benefits bicyclists and/or other non-motorized users. All projects must have a transportation purpose (i.e., connecting people to destinations). A facility may serve both a transportation purpose and a recreational purpose. Multiuse trail bridges or underpasses are eligible in this category.

**Examples** of Multi-use Trail and Bicycle Facility Projects:

- Multi-use trails
- > Trail Bridges/underpasses
- On-street bike lanes, improved signalization detectors for bicycles
- Filling multiple gaps, improving multiple crossings, or making other similar improvements along a trail corridor

Μu	ltiuse Trails and Bicycle Facilities Projects Scoring Criteria and Measures	Points	2
1	Role in the Regional Transportation System and Economy	- Cillio	20
1a	Measure - Level of Congestion, Principal Arterial Intersection Conversion Study Priorities, and Congestion Management and Safety Plan Opportunity Areas		5
1b	Measure - Project location relative to the Regional Bicycle Transportation Network, Bicycle commuting corridors		5
1c	Measure - Connection to Jobs, Transit Routes and Educational Institutions		5
1d	Measure - connectivity to Transit Routes, trail connectivity, bike corridor connectivity, Bike/Ped. Network connectivity		5
2	Potential Usage		15
2a	Measure - Existing population and employment within 1 mile (potential usage), population density and employment density		10
2b	Measure – Snow and ice control		5
3	Equity		5
3а	Measure - No disproportionate impacts & connection to disadvantaged populations and project's benefits, impacts, and mitigation		5
4	Deficiencies and Safety		10
4a	Measure – Gaps closed/barriers removed and/or continuity between jurisdictions improved by the project		5
4b	Measure - Deficiencies corrected or safety problems addressed		5
5	Multimodal Elements and Existing Connections		20
5a	Measure - Transit or pedestrian/bicycle elements of the project and connections, level of traffic stress		20
6	Consistency with Regional Plans		10
6a	Consistent with Plans, Studies, Goals, Policies, Strategies		10
7	Public Engagement/Risk Assessment (ROW acquisition, proximity to historic properties)		10
7a	Measure - Public engagement/municipal support/KDOT Consult/Railroad Involvement		5
	Measure - National Environmental Protection Act, National Historic Protection Act (e.a. historic resources area, ROW easements, flood		-
7b	risk)		5
7b 8	risk) Cost Effectiveness		10
7b 8 8a	risk) Cost Effectiveness Measure – Cost effectiveness (total points/total project cost)		10 10
7b 8 8a	risk) Cost Effectiveness Measure – Cost effectiveness (total points/total project cost)		10 10 100

1.a.	Role in the Regional Trans. System & Economy (Max 5 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project in highly congested areas	5 Pts.
	Project in medium congested areas	3 Pts.
	Project in low congested areas	1 Pt.

1.b.	Role in the Regional Trans. System & Economy (Max 5 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project connects two separate pieces of the Regional Bicycle Transportation Network	5 Dts
	or other Bicycle commuting corridor(s) that weren't previously connected.	516.
	Project adds at least one connection to existing bicycle network.	2.5 Pts.
	Project makes no connections to existing bicycle network.	0 Pts.

1.c.	Role in the Regional Trans. System & Economy (Max 5 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project is within 0.5 miles of a transit route, add 1 point.	1 Pt.
	Project is within 0.5 miles of sources of educational facilities, add 2 points.	2 Pts.
	Project is within 0.5 miles of sources of employment, add 2 points.	2 Pts.

1.d.	Role in the Regional Trans. System & Economy (Max 5 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project adds connectivity to existing trails/trail networks.	1.25 Pts.
	Project adds connectivity to existing bike corridor.	1.25 Pts.
	Project adds connectivity to existing bicycle/pedestrian network.	1.25 Pts.
	Project adds connectivity to existing transit routes.	1.25 Pts.

2.a.	Potential Usage (Max 10 Pts.)	<b>Point Value</b>
	Project is within one mile of existing employment opportunities.	5 Pts.
	Project is within one mile of existing population centers.	5 Pts.
	Project is more than one mile from existing employment sources and population	0 Pts.
	centers.	

2.b.	Potential Usage (Max 5 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project includes provisions for snow & ice removal from project facilities.	5 Pts.
	Project does not include provisions for snow & ice removal from project facilities.	0 Pts.

3.a.	Equity (Max 5 Pts.)	Point Value	
	Project creates no disproportionate impacts to minority populations within designated	2 Pts.	
	E.J. locations.		
	Project creates no disproportionate impacts to low-income populations within	2 Pts.	
	designated E.J. locations.		
	Project creates no disproportionate impacts to L.E.P. populations within designated	1.0+	
	E.J. locations.	181.	

4.a.	Deficiencies & Safety (Max 5 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project closes a gap or removes a barrier present in the existing trail/bike/ped network.	2.5 Pts.
	Project closes a gap, removes a barrier, or adds a connection to the existing trail/bike/ped network that adds connectivity to the network or between jurisdictions.	2.5 Pts.

4.b.	Deficiencies & Safety (Max 5 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project makes meaningful safety improvements or deficiency corrections in a location	
	having had at least one Bike/Ped fatality or serious injury and/or 15 of more Bike/Ped	5 Pts.
	crashes since 2017.	
	Project makes meaningful safety improvements or deficiency corrections in a location	3 Pts.
	having had at least 10 of more Bike/Ped crashes or serious injury crashes since 2017.	
	Project does not address any safety concerns or deficiencies.	0 Pts.

5.a.	Multimodal Elements and Existing Conditions (Max 20 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project connects with a transit route.	5 Pts.
	Project is on a Bicycle Level of Traffic Stress (BLTS) of 4 (larger numbers indicate more stress).	15 Pts.
	Project is on a Bicycle Level of Traffic Stress (BLTS) of 3.	10 Pts.
	Project is on a Bicycle Level of Traffic Stress (BLTS) of 2.	5 Pts.
	Project is on a Bicycle Level of Traffic Stress (BLTS) of 1.	0 Pts.

6.a.	Consistency with Regional Plans (Max 10 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project is part of established regional plans & goals or has been designed to integrate with it.	10 Pts.
	Regional plans have been considered & steps taken to integrate the project into plans, but some issues or conflicts may exist.	7 Pts.
	Project doesn't consider or integrate with regional plans, but it also doesn't conflict with or affect any existing regional plans or goals.	5 Pts.
	Project doesn't consider regional plans or goals and/or conflicts with established plans/goals.	0 Pts.

7.a.	Public Engagement/Risk Assessment (Max 5 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project has been presented to and has support/sign-off by all interested & relevant parties/groups/stakeholders and is ready to begin if selected for inclusion to the TIP.	5 Pts.
	Some or most affected parties/groups/stakeholders have been included/consulted on the project discussions and potential problem resolutions, but some issues remain to be addressed before the project can begin.	2.5 Pts.
	No engagement with parties/groups/stakeholders. Significant work remains to be completed before the project can commence.	0 Pts.

7.b.	Public Engagement/Risk Assessment (Max 5 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project has no elements falling under the National Environmental Protection Act.	2.5 Pts.
	Project has no elements falling under the Historic Preservation Acts.	2.5 Pts.

8.a.	Cost Effectiveness (Max 10 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project results in maximum efficiency of use of funding and has a high score in this rubric.	10 Pts.
	Project results in elevated efficiency of use of funding and has a fairly high score in this rubric.	5 Pts.
	Project doesn't result in most efficient use of funding.	0 Pts.

#### 6. Pedestrian Facilities Scoring

**Definition:** A project that primarily benefits pedestrians and the mobility impaired. All projects must relate to surface transportation. A facility may serve both a transportation purpose and a recreational purpose; a facility that connects people to recreational destinations may be considered to have a transportation purpose. Multi-use trail bridges or underpasses and bicycle facilities should be in the category of the 'Multi-use Trail and Bicycle Facilities' instead of this Pedestrian Facilities.

**Examples** of Pedestrian Facility Projects:

- Sidewalks
- Streetscaping
- > Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) improvements
- Making similar improvements in a concentrated geographic area, such as sidewalk gap closure throughout a defined neighborhood or downtown area

Pec	lestrian Facilities (Sidewalks, Streetscaping, and ADA) Scoring		
	Criteria and Measures	Points	%
1	Role in the Regional Transportation System and Economy		30
1a	Measure - Project location relative to the Regional Bicycle Transportation Network, Bicycle Commuting Corridors (BCC), and Pedestrian Areas (PA)		10
1b	Measure - Connection to Jobs and Educational Institutions		10
lc	Measure - connectivity to Transit Routes, trail connectivity, bike corridor connectivity, Bike/Ped. Network connectivity		10
2	Potential Usage		20
2a	Measure - Existing population and employment within 1/2 mile (potential usage)		10
2b	Measure – Snow and ice control		10
3	Equity		5
3a	Measure - No disproportionate impacts & connection to disadvantaged populations and project's benefits, impacts, and mitigation		5
4	Deficiencies and Safety		15
4a	Measure – Gaps closed/barriers removed and/or continuity between jurisdictions improved by the project		7.5
4b	Measure - Deficiencies corrected or safety problems addressed		7.5
5	Consistency with Regional Plans		10
5a	Consistent with Plans, Studies, Goals, Policies, Strategies		10
6	Public Engagement/Risk Assessment (ROW acquisition, proximity to historic properties)		10
6a	Measure - Public engagement/municipal support/KDOT Consult/Railroad Involvement		5
6b	Measure - National Environmental Protection Act, National Historic Protection Act (e.g. historic resources area, ROW easements, flood risk)		5
7	Cost Effectiveness		10
7a	Measure – Cost effectiveness (total points/total project cost)		10
			100
	TOTAL		

1.a.	Regional Transportation System & Economy (Max 10 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project connects two separate pieces of the Regional Bicycle Transportation Network or other Bicycle commuting corridors that weren't previously connected.	10 Pts.
	Project adds at least one connection to existing bicycle network.	5 Pts.
	Project makes no connections to existing bicycle network.	0 Pts.

1.b.	Regional Transportation System & Economy (Max 10 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project is within 0.5 miles of sources of educational facilities, add 5 points.	5 Pts.
	Project is within 0.5 miles of sources of employment, add 5 points.	5 Pts.

1.c.	Regional Transportation System & Economy (Max 10 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project adds connectivity to existing trails/trail networks.	2.5 Pts.
	Project adds connectivity to existing bike corridor.	2.5 Pts.
	Project adds connectivity to existing bicycle/pedestrian network.	2.5 Pts.
	Project adds connectivity to existing transit routes.	2.5 Pts.

2.a.	Potential Usage (Max 10 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project is within 1/2 mile of existing employment opportunities.	5 Pts.
	Project is within 1/2 mile of existing population centers.	5 Pts.
	Project is more than 1/2 mile from existing employment sources and population	0 Pts.
	centers.	

2.b.	Potential Usage (Max 10 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project includes provisions for snow & ice removal from project facilities.	10 Pts.
	Project does not include provisions for snow & ice removal from project facilities.	0 Pts.

3.a.	Equity (Max 5 Pts.)	Point Value	
	Project creates no disproportionate impacts to minority populations within designated	2 D+a	
	E.J. locations.	2 P 15.	
	Project creates no disproportionate impacts to low-income populations within	2.044	
	designated E.J. locations.	2 Pts.	
	Project creates no disproportionate impacts to L.E.P. populations within designated	1 D+	
	E.J. locations.	1 PL	

4.a.	Deficiencies & Safety (Max 7.5 Pts.)	Point Value	
	Project closes a gap, removes a barrier, or adds a connection to the existing	7.5 Pts.	
	trail/bike/Ped network that adds connectivity to the network or between jurisdictions.		
	Project closes a gap or removes a barrier present in the existing trail/bike/Ped network.	4 Pts.	

4.b.	Deficiencies & Safety (Max 7.5 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project makes meaningful safety improvements or deficiency corrections in a location	
	having had at least one Bike/Ped fatality or serious injury and/or 15 of more Bike/Ped	7.5 Pts.
	crashes since 2017.	
	Project makes meaningful safety improvements or deficiency corrections in a location	4 Pts.
	having had at least 10 of more Bike/Ped crashes or serious injury crashes since 2017.	
	Project does not address any safety concerns or deficiencies.	0 Pts.

5.a.	Consistency with Regional Plans (Max 10 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project is part of established regional plans & goals or has been designed to integrate	10 Pts.
	with it.	
	Regional plans have been considered & steps taken to integrate the project into said	7 Pts.
	plans, but some issues or conflicts may exist.	
	Project doesn't consider or integrate with regional plans, but it also doesn't conflict	5 Pts.
	with or affect any existing regional plans or goals.	
	Project doesn't consider regional plans or goals and/or conflicts with established	0 Pts.
	plans/goals.	

6.a.	Public Engagement/Risk Assessment (Max 5 Pts.)	Point
		Value
	Project has been presented to and has support/sign-off by all interested & relevant	E Dła
	parties/groups/stakeholders and is ready to begin if selected for inclusion to the TIP.	J F (S.
	Some or most affected parties/groups/stakeholders have been included/consulted on	
	the project discussions and potential problem resolutions, but some issues remain to	2.5 Pts.
	be addressed before the project can begin.	
	No engagement with parties/groups/stakeholders. Significant work remains to be	0.Dtc
	completed before the project can commence.	UPIS.

6.b.	Public Engagement/Risk Assessment (Max 5 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project has no elements falling under the National Environmental Protection Act.	2.5 Pts.
	Project has no elements falling under the Historic Preservation Acts.	2.5 Pts.

7.a.	Cost Effectiveness (Max 10 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project results in maximum efficiency of use of funding and has a high score in this rubric.	10 Pts.
	Project results in elevated efficiency of use of funding and has a fairly high score in this rubric.	5 Pts.
	Project doesn't result in most efficient use of funding.	0 Pts.

#### 6. Safe Routes to School Projects Scoring

**Definition:** An infrastructure project that is within a twomile radius and directly benefiting a primary, middle, or high school site.

**Examples** of Safe Routes to School Infrastructure Projects:

- > Sidewalks benefiting people going to the school
- Multi-use trails benefiting people going to the school
- > Improved crossings benefiting people going to the school
- Multiple improvements

Saf	Safe Routes to School Infrastructure – Scoring			
	Criteria and Measures	Points	%	
1	Relationship between Safe Routes to School Program Elements		25	
1a	Measure - Describe how project addresses 5 Es (Evaluation, Engineering, Education, Encouragement, and Enforcement) of SRTS program		15	
1b	Measure - connectivity to Transit Routes, trail connectivity, bike corridor connectivity, Bike/Ped. Network connectivity		10	
2	Potential Usage		20	
2a	Measure - Average share of student population that bikes or walks; or student registrations		15	
2b	Measure - Student population within school's walkshed		5	
3	Equity		5	
3а	Measure - No disproportionate impacts & connection to disadvantaged populations and project's benefits, impacts, and mitigation		5	
4	Deficiencies and Safety		20	
4a	Measure – Gaps closed/barriers removed and/or continuity between jurisdictions improved by the project		10	
4b	Measure - Deficiencies corrected or safety problems addressed		10	
5	Consistency with Regional Plans		10	
5a	Consistent with Plans, Studies, Goals, Policies, Strategies		10	
6	Public Engagement/Risk Assessment		10	
6a	Measure - Public engagement/municipal support/KDOT Consult/Railroad Involvement		5	
6b	Measure - National Environmental Protection Act, National Historic Protection Act (e.g. historic resources area, ROW easements, flood risk)		5	
7	Cost Effectiveness		10	
7a	Measure – Cost effectiveness (total points/total project cost)		10	
			100	
	TOTAL			

1.a.	Relationship between Safe Routes to School Program Elements (Max 15 Pts.)	Point Value
	Addressed all 5 E's (Evaluation, Engineering, Education, Encouragement, and	15 pts.
	Enforcement)	
	Addressed 4 E's (Evaluation, Engineering, Education, Encouragement, and	12 pts
	Enforcement)	
	Addressed 3 E's (Evaluation, Engineering, Education, Encouragement, and	8 pts
	Enforcement)	
	Addressed 2 E's (Evaluation, Engineering, Education, Encouragement, and	5 pts
	Enforcement)	
	Addressed 1 E's (Evaluation, Engineering, Education, Encouragement, and	2 pts
	Enforcement)	
	Addressed zero E's (Evaluation, Engineering, Education, Encouragement, and	0 pts.
	Enforcement)	

1.b.	Relationship between Safe Routes to School Program Elements (Max 15 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project adds connectivity to existing trails/trail networks.	2.5 Pts.
	Project adds connectivity to existing bike corridor.	2.5 Pts.
	Project adds connectivity to existing bicycle/pedestrian network.	2.5 Pts.
	Project adds connectivity to existing transit routes.	2.5 Pts.

2.a.	Potential Usage (Max 15 Pts.)	Point Value
	Average share of student population that bikes or walks; or student registration is	15 pts.
	between 75 and 100 percent	
	Average share of student population that bikes or walks; or student registration is	10 pts.
	between 50 and 75 percent	
	Average share of student population that bikes or walks; or student registration is	5 pts.
	between 25 and 50 percent	
	Average share of student population that bikes or walks; or student registration is	0 pts.
	between 0 and 25 percent	

2.b.	Potential Usage (Max 15 Pts.)	Point Value
	Average share of student population that is within school's walkshed is between 75 and	15 pts.
	100 percent	
	Average share of student population that is within school's walkshed is between 50 and	10 pts.
	75 percent	
	Average share of student population that is within school's walkshed is between 25 and	5 pts.
	50 percent	
	Average share of student population that is within school's walkshed is between 0 and	0 pts.
	25 percent	

3.a.	Equity (Max 5 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project creates no disproportionate impacts to minority populations within designated	2 Dtc
	E.J. locations.	Z Pts.
	Project creates no disproportionate impacts to low-income populations within	2 Dtc
	designated E.J. locations.	Z Pts.
	Project creates no disproportionate impacts to L.E.P. populations within designated	1 D+
	E.J. locations.	171.

4.a.	Deficiencies & Safety (Max 5 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project closes a gap or removes a barrier present in the existing trail/bike/ped network.	2.5 Pts.
	Project closes a gap, removes a barrier, or adds a connection to the existing	2 E Dto
	trail/bike/ped network that adds connectivity to the network or between jurisdictions.	2.5 Pts.

4.b.	Deficiencies & Safety (Max 5 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project makes meaningful safety improvements or deficiency corrections in a location	
	having had at least one Bike/Ped fatality or serious injury and/or 15 of more Bike/Ped	5 Pts.
	crashes since 2017.	
	Project makes meaningful safety improvements or deficiency corrections in a location	3 Pts.
	having had at least 10 of more Bike/Ped crashes or serious injury crashes since 2017.	
	Project does not address any safety concerns or deficiencies.	0 Pts.

5.a.	Consistency with Regional Plans (Max 10 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project is part of established regional plans & goals or has been designed to integrate with it.	10 Pts.
	Regional plans have been considered & steps taken to integrate the project into plans, but some issues or conflicts may exist.	7 Pts.
	Project doesn't consider or integrate with regional plans, but it also doesn't conflict with or affect any existing regional plans or goals.	5 Pts.
	Project doesn't consider regional plans or goals and/or conflicts with established plans/goals.	0 Pts.

6.a.	Consistency with Regional Plans (Max 10 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project is part of established regional plans & goals or has been designed to integrate with it.	10 Pts.
	Regional plans have been considered & steps taken to integrate the project into plans, but some issues or conflicts may exist.	7 Pts.
	Project doesn't consider or integrate with regional plans, but it also doesn't conflict with or affect any existing regional plans or goals.	5 Pts.
	Project doesn't consider regional plans or goals and/or conflicts with established plans/goals.	0 Pts.

7 -	Public Engagement/Pick Accessment (Max E Dts.)	Point
1.a.	Public Engagement/Risk Assessment (max 5 Pis.)	Value
	Project has been presented to and has support/sign-off by all interested & relevant	E Dtc
	parties/groups/stakeholders and is ready to begin if selected for inclusion to the TIP.	5 PtS.
	Some or most affected parties/groups/stakeholders have been included/consulted on	
	the project discussions and potential problem resolutions, but some issues remain to	2.5 Pts.
	be addressed before the project can begin.	
	No engagement with parties/groups/stakeholders. Significant work remains to be	0 Dtc
	completed before the project can commence.	0 1 15.

7.b.	Public Engagement/Risk Assessment (Max 5 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project has no elements falling under the National Environmental Protection Act.	2.5 Pts.
	Project has no elements falling under the Historic Preservation Acts.	2.5 Pts.

8.a.	Cost Effectiveness (Max 10 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project results in maximum efficiency of use of funding and has a high score in this rubric.	10 Pts.
	Project results in elevated efficiency of use of funding and has a fairly high score in this rubric.	5 Pts.
	Project doesn't result in most efficient use of funding.	0 Pts.

#### 7. Transit Expansion/Modernization Scoring

**Definition:** A transit project that provides new or expanded transit service/facilities with the intent of attracting new transit riders to the system. Expansion projects may also benefit existing or future riders, but the projects are evaluated primarily on the ability to attract new riders. A transit project that makes transit more attractive to existing riders by offering faster travel times between destinations or improving the customer experience. Modernization projects may also benefit new or future riders, these projects are evaluated primarily on the benefit to existing riders. Routine facility maintenance and upkeep is not an evaluation criteria.

**Examples** of Transit Expansion and Modernization Projects: Transit Expansion Projects:

- Operating funds for new or expanded transit service
- > Transit vehicles for new or expanded service
- Customer facilities for new or expanded service, new transit centers or stations, along a route
- > Park-and-ride facilities or expansions
- > Bus/transit vehicle purchases

Transit Modernization Projects:

- Improved boarding areas, lighting, or safety and security equipment, real-time signage;
- Passenger waiting facilities, heated facilities or weather protection
- New transit maintenance and support facilities/garages or upgrades to existing facilities
- ITS measures that improve reliability and the customer experience on a specific transit route or in a specific area
- Improved fare collection systems
- Multiple eligible improvements along a route

Tra	Transit Expansion and Modernization Projects Scoring		
	Criteria and Measures	Points	%
1	Role in the Regional Transportation System and Economy		15
1a	Measure - Level of Congestion, Principal Arterial Intersection Conversion Study Priorities, and Congestion Management and Safety Plan Opportunity Areas		5
1b	Measure - Project Location Relative to Population Density, Jobs, Manufacturing, Transit Routes, and Education		5
1c	Measure - connectivity to Transit Routes, trail connectivity, bike corridor connectivity, Bike/Ped. Network connectivity		5
2	Usage – Demonstration of Need		20
2a	Measure - New Annual Riders (for Expansion Projects)		10
2b	Measure - Total existing annual riders (for Modernization Projects)		10
3	Equity		5
3а	Measure - No disproportionate impacts & connection to disadvantaged populations and project's benefits, impacts, and mitigation		5
4	Air Quality Emissions Reduction		15
4a	Measure - Emissions and congestion benefits of project, Kg of emissions reduced		15
5	Multimodal Elements and Existing Connections		15
5a	Measure - Bicycle and pedestrian elements of the project and connections		15
6	Consistency with Regional Plans – Accessibility & Collaboration of MPO's Transit coordinated plan		10
6a	Consistent with Plans, Studies, Goals, Policies, Strategies		10
7	Public Engagement/Risk Assessment (ROW acquisition, proximity to historic properties)		10
7a	Measure - Public engagement/municipal support/KDOT Consult/Railroad Involvement		5
7b	Measure - National Environmental Protection Act, National Historic Protection Act (e.g. historic resources area, ROW easements, flood risk)		5
8	Cost Effectiveness		10
8a	Measure - Cost effectiveness (total points/total project cost)		10
			100
	TOTAL	0	

1.a.	Role in the Regional Trans. System & Economy (Max 5 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project in highly congested areas.	5 Pts.
	Project in medium congested areas.	3 Pts.
	Project in low congested areas.	1 Pt.

1.b.	Role in the Regional Trans. System & Economy (Max 5 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project is within 1 mile of existing employment opportunities.	2 Pts.
	Project is within 1 mile of existing population centers.	1.5 Pts
	Project is within 1 mile of existing educational institutions.	1.5 Pts

1.c.	Role in the Regional Trans. System & Economy (Max 5 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project adds connectivity to existing trails/trail networks.	1.25 Pts.
	Project adds connectivity to existing bike corridor.	1.25 Pts.
	Project adds connectivity to existing bicycle/pedestrian network.	1.25 Pts.
	Project adds connectivity to existing transit routes.	1.25 Pts.

2.a.	Usage-Demonstration of Need (Max 10 Pts.)	Point Value
	Expansion project results in a 1% increase in potential new annual users.	10 Pts.
	Expansion project results in a measurable increase in potential new annual users.	5 Pts.
	Project does not result in a measurable change in potential new users.	0 Pts.

2.b.	Usage-Demonstration of Need (Max 10 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project results in a 1% increase in total ridership.	10 Pts.
	Project results in a measurable increase in total ridership.	5 Pts.
	Project does not result in a measurable change in total ridership.	0 Pts.

3.a.	Equity (Max 5 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project creates no disproportionate impacts to minority populations within designated	2 Pts.
	E.J. locations.	
	Project creates no disproportionate impacts to low-income populations within	2 Pts.
	designated E.J. locations.	
	Project creates no disproportionate impacts to L.E.P. populations within designated	1 Pt.
	E.J. locations.	

4.a.	Air Quality Emissions Reduction (Max 15 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project provides a high emissions reduction.	15 Pts.
	Project provides a medium emissions reduction	10 Pts.
	Project provides a low emissions reduction.	5 Pts.

5.a.	Multimodal Elements and Existing Connections (Max 15 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project includes bicycle features or connections.	3.75 Pts.
	Project increases the population of potential users within a 1/2 mile of a bicycle network.	3.75 Pts.
	Project includes pedestrian features or connections.	3.75 Pts.
	Project increases the population of potential users within a 1/2 mile of a pedestrian network.	3.75 Pts.

6.a.	Consistency with Regional Plans (Max 10 Pts. Total)	Point Value
	Project is part of established regional plans & goals or has been designed to integrate	10 Pts.
	withit.	
	Regional plans have been considered & steps taken to integrate the project into plans,	7 Pts.
	but some issues or conflicts may exist.	
	Project doesn't consider or integrate with regional plans, but it also doesn't conflict	5 Pts.
	with or affect any existing regional plans or goals.	
	Project doesn't consider regional plans or goals and/or conflicts with established	0 Dtc
	plans/goals.	0 PIS.

7.a. Public Engagement/Risk Assessment (Max 5 Pts.)	Point	
	Papir Engagement (risk Assessment (max 5 Fis.)	Value
	Project has been presented to and has support/sign-off by all interested & relevant	E Dte
	parties/groups/stakeholders and is ready to begin if selected for inclusion to the TIP.	5 Pts.
	Some or most affected parties/groups/stakeholders have been included/consulted on	
	the project discussions and potential problem resolutions but some issues remain to be	2.5 Pts.
	addressed before the project can begin.	
	No engagement with parties/groups/stakeholders. Significant work remains to be	0.Dtc
	completed before the project can commence.	0 PtS.

7.	.b.	Public Engagement/Risk Assessment (Max 5 Pts.)	Point Value
		Project has no elements falling under the National Environmental Protection Act.	2.5 Pts.
		Project has no elements falling under the Historic Preservation Acts.	2.5 Pts.

8.a.	Cost Effectiveness (Max 10 Pts.)	Point Value
	Project results in maximum efficiency of use of funding and has a high score in this	10 Pts.
	rubric.	
	Project results in elevated efficiency of use of funding and has a fairly high score in this	5 Pts.
	rubric.	